

COURTS IN GREENLEE COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2012-2014 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Reduce travel and cost required to obtain COJET training.
- Address ongoing problem of no court reporting resources residing in county; reduce high cost of contracting court reporters from outside of county.
- Obtain offsite access to court records for key personnel (for telework and business continuity).
- Develop procedures for better preservation of audio records.
- Work with county justice partners to eliminate re-keying of criminal data.
- Electronically distribute minute entries from courtroom.
- Enhance physical security within superior court building, especially for staff.
- Develop inspiring brochure for potential jurors.

CY 2010 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Implemented AJACS CMS in April 2010.
- Field trainer supplied local COJET opportunities and attended Phoenix COJET classes via Webcast.
- Implemented free conference calling service between case parties and the superior court courtroom.
- Created emergency plan for superior court staff.
- Implemented a public access PC in superior court building.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS/Bench Auto	Positive about reduction in manual keying and increase of data exchange possibilities; require equipment and training; will be early adopter.
JOLTSaz/SWID	No comments included; will be mid-cycle adopter.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Recognize savings in clerk labor and paper; will improve filings from out-of-county attorneys; will be mid cycle adopter.
LJ EDMS	Will relieve courts' physical records storage pressures; very interested in digitizing closed records to speed research; will be early adopters.
Architecture	Don't perform local development; have some financials outside of AJACS and AZTEC.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
Self-Service Center	FY11		X		Superior Court
Courthouse Security	FY11		X		Superior Court building
Improve Courtroom Audio	FY12		X		Conceptual; FTG funding
Electronic Minute Entry Distribution	FY11		X		Local JCEF
Complete Local Court Website	FY12		X		Superior Court; County IT
Remote Court Reporters	FY12		X		Participate in statewide project
Convert Audio Records	FY11		X		Clerk of the Court
Provide Offsite Access to Records	FY11		X		Superior Court, Justice Courts
Data Exchange/ Interface Programs	FY11		X		All courts

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.